Quarkonia decays into two photons induced by space-time non-commutativity
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In this article we propose standard model strictly forbidden decay modes, quarkonia \(\bar{Q}Q_{1-} = J/\psi, \Upsilon\) decays into two photons, as a possible signature of space-time non-commutativity. An experimental discovery of \(J/\psi \to \gamma \gamma\) and/or \(\Upsilon \to \gamma \gamma\) processes would certainly indicate a violation of the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Yang theorem and a definitive appearance of new physics.
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INTRODUCTION

A general feature of gauge theories on non-commutative (NC) space-time is the appearance of many new interactions which can lead to standard model (SM) forbidden processes. In this paper we use the non-commutative standard model (NCSM) to estimate decay of heavy quarkonia \((\bar{Q}Q_{1-} = J/\psi, \Upsilon)\) i.e. quarkonia annihilation into two photons, which is strictly forbidden in the SM by angular momentum conservation and Bose statistics, known as Landau-Pomeranchuk-Yang (LPY) theorem. Since the violation of the LPY theorem represents in fact the violation of Lorentz invariance, which is intrinsically embedded in non-commutative theories, such decays can in principle serve as an signature of space-time non-commutativity. This proposal represents an attempt to obtain the bound on the NC scale \(\Lambda_{NC}\) from hadronic physics.

A method for implementing non-abelian SU(\(N\)) Yang-Mills theories on non-commutative space-time was proposed in [1, 2, 3, 4]. In [5, 6, 7, 8] this method was applied to the full SM of particle physics resulting in a minimal non-commutative extension of the SM with the same structure group \(SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y\) and with the same fields and number of coupling parameters as in the original SM. It is the only known approach that allows to build models of the electroweak sector directly based on the structure group \(SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y\) in a non-commutative background. We call this model NCSC and it represents an effective, anomaly free [2], non-commutative field theory. Space-time non-commutativity can be parameterized by the constant antisymmetric matrix \(\theta_{\mu\nu}\),

\[
x_\mu \ast x_\nu - x_\nu \ast x_\mu = i\theta_{\mu\nu},
\]

where \(\ast\) denotes the product of non-commutative structure, while \(\theta_{\mu\nu} = e^{\nu\mu}/\Lambda_{NC}^2\), with \(e_{\mu\nu}\) being dimensionless coefficients presumably of order unity.

Violation of Lorentz symmetry is introduced by virtue of nonzero \(\theta_{\mu\nu}\). Furthermore, the analysis of discrete symmetry properties of the NCSM [9] shows that \(\theta\) transforms under C, P, T in such a way that it preserves these symmetries in the action. However, considering \(\theta\) as a fixed spectator field, there will be spontaneous breaking of C, P and/or CP (relative to the spectator). In the process of interest the C symmetry is violated.

An alternative proposal for the construction of non-commutative generalizations of the standard model has been put forward in [11].

Signatures of non-commutativity have been discussed from the point of view of collider physics [12, 13], including SM forbidden \(Z \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, gg\) decays [14], neutrino astrophysics [15] and neutrino physics [16], as well as low-energy non-accelerator experiments [17, 18, 19]. Note that the Lorentz violating operators considered in [17] and [18] do not appear in the NCSM [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] considered in this article. Furthermore, the rather high bound on non-commutativity obtained in [19] is based on a particular operator contribution appearing in NC QCD that, as discussed in [20], is canceled by the contribution of other terms in this model. In the NCSM [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16] the existing bound of \(|\theta| \lesssim (10\,\text{TeV})^{-2}\) comes from a rather crude model estimate obtained in [21]. Finally, research of bound state decays in the framework of noncommutative QED/QCD [22, 23, 24] were performed by computing the lifetimes of ortho- and para positronium [25] as well as the corrections to gluonic decays of heavy quarkonia [26]. For reviews, see [27, 28, 29].

Experimental discovery of the kinematically allowed decays \(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\) and \(\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\), as well as \(Z \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\) and \(Z \rightarrow gg\), would certainly prove a violation of the LPY theorem and could serve as a possible indication/signal for space-time non-commutativity.

In Section 2 we briefly review the ingredients of the NCSM relevant to this work. In Section 3 the amplitudes for the \(\bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma\) process are worked out, while in Section 4 the decay rates are determined. Section 5 is devoted to the discussion of numerical results and concluding remarks.
THE NON-COMMUTATIVE STANDARD MODEL

The general action of the NCSM is

\[ S_{NCSM} = S_{\text{fermions}} + S_{\text{gauge}} + S_{\text{Higgs}} + S_{\text{Yukawa}}, \]

where for explicit expressions of particular contributions we refer to [2].

For the simple case of quark QED interactions, which is relevant to SM forbidden decays of quarkonia into two photons, the expansion up to the first order in the NC parameter \( \theta \) reads

\[
S_{\psi, \text{QED}} = \int d^4x \overline{\psi} (\not{\partial} - m_q) \psi - \frac{1}{4} \overline{\psi} A_{\mu\nu} (i\theta^{\mu\nu\rho} D_\rho - m_q \theta^{\mu\nu}) \psi, \quad (3)
\]

where \( \theta^{\mu\nu\rho} = \theta^{\mu\nu} \gamma^\rho + \theta^{\rho\mu} \gamma^\nu + \theta^{\rho\nu} \gamma^\mu \) and \( A_{\mu\nu} \) is the photon field strength tensor. The kinetic part in (3) comes from \( S_{\text{fermions}} \), while the mass contribution originates from \( S_{\text{Yukawa}} \), which, in the case of QED, interactions takes this simple form [2]. At the order \( \theta \), the electroweak interactions have additional, more involved mass contributions, but only the SM electroweak quark interactions are relevant to our calculation.

In the gauge sector of the action [2], we have freedom in the choice of traces in kinetic terms for gauge fields. Two different choices were under consideration in [2] producing two different choices for the gauge sector, corresponding to the so-called minimal (mNCSM) and the non-minimal (nmNCSM) model, respectively. The matter sector of the action is not affected by the change of the gauge part; the quark-gauge boson interactions remain the same in both models.

The mNCSM adopts the following choice for the traces in \( S_{\text{gauge}}^{\text{mNCSM}} \), a sum of three traces over the \( U(1)_Y \), \( SU(2)_L \), and \( SU(3)_C \) sectors with \( Y = \frac{1}{2} (1 \ 0\ -1) \) in the definition of \( T_R \) and the fundamental representation for \( SU(2)_L \) and \( SU(3)_C \) generators in \( T_{R_2} \) and \( T_{R_3} \), respectively. Up to the first order in the NC parameter \( \theta \), the following gauge terms in the mNCSM are obtained:

\[
S_{\text{gauge}}^{\text{mNCSM}} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \int d^4x \left[ B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} - 2g_{S} \theta^{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma} - G_{\mu\rho} G_{\nu\sigma} \right) G^{\rho\sigma} \right]. \quad (4)
\]

Here, \( A_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}, \) and \( G_{\mu\nu} \) denote the \( U(1)_Y \), \( SU(2)_L \), and \( SU(3)_C \) field strengths, respectively. At order \( \theta \) there are no corrections nor new interactions involving electroweak fields.

One can pick up the other representation of the gauge sector, such that the trace is chosen over all particle multiplets on which covariant derivatives act and which have different quantum numbers. In the SM, these are five multiplets for each generation of fermions and one Higgs multiplet. New triple neutral gauge boson interactions, usually forbidden by Lorentz invariance, angular momentum conservation and the LPY theorem, arise quite naturally in the framework of nmNCSM model [6, 14].

In the case of quarkonia decays into two photons, the gauge sector in the nmNCSM gives important contributions through the appearances of novel triple gauge boson \( \gamma\gamma\gamma \) and \( Z\gamma\gamma \) couplings. Here we give parts relevant to this paper:

\[
L_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^{\text{nmNCSM}} = \frac{e}{4} \sin 2\theta_W K_{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \times \theta^{\rho\sigma} A^{\mu\nu} (A_{\mu\rho} A_{\nu\sigma} - 4A_{\mu\sigma} A_{\nu\rho}),
\]

\[
L_{Z\gamma\gamma}^{\text{nmNCSM}} = \frac{e}{4} \sin 2\theta_W K_{Z\gamma\gamma} \times \theta^{\rho\sigma} \left[ 2Z^{\mu\nu} (2A_{\mu\rho} A_{\nu\sigma} - A_{\mu\sigma} A_{\nu\rho}) + 8Z_{\mu\rho} A^{\mu\nu} A_{\nu\sigma} - Z_{\mu\sigma} A_{\mu\rho} A^{\rho\nu} \right]. \quad (5)
\]

For details of the nmNCSM construction and the allowed values of the constants \( K_{\gamma\gamma\gamma} \) and \( K_{Z\gamma\gamma} \), see [6, 14]. Parameters in the nmNCSM can be restricted by considering GUTs on non-commutative space-time [10].

AMPLITUDES FOR \( \bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \) DECAYS

In our model, for computations of relevant matrix elements, i.e., for computations of the diagrams from Figures 1 and 2, we employ Feynman rules derived in [7]. A helpful property of the action, important for check of calculations, is its symmetry under ordinary gauge transformations in addition to non-commutative ones. Furthermore, in the computations of the diagrams from Figures 1 and 2 applying the following prescription for quarkonia to the vacuum transition matrix element of the operator \( q_i \overline{q}_j^c \) (where \( q = c, b \) and \( i, j \) are color indices)

\[
\langle 0 \vert q_i \overline{q}_j^c \vert \bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow (P) \rangle = -\frac{\vert \Psi_{\bar{Q}Q_{1-}}^{(0)} \rangle \langle P + M \vert \delta_i^c \delta_j^c \delta_{ij} \rangle \sqrt{12M}}{\langle \bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rangle \delta_{ij}} \quad (6)
\]

we actually hadronize free quarks into a quarkonium bound state and calculate the amplitude for the quarkonia decay into two photons. Here, \( \vert \Psi_{\bar{Q}Q_{1-}}^{(0)} \rangle \) represents the quarkonia wave function at the origin

\[
\langle \bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-) M^2}{16\pi e^2 Q}. \quad (7)
\]

In the mNCSM, to order \( \theta \), the diagrams displayed in Figure 1 contribute to the amplitude \( M_{1}(\bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \).
where the needed vector couplings of $c$ and $b$ quarks are

given by

\[
\mathcal{M}_1 = i \frac{\alpha \sqrt{3}}{2} \epsilon_\rho |\Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(0)| \epsilon_{\rho}(k_1) \epsilon_{\rho}(k_2) \epsilon_{\rho}(P) \\
\times \left\{ - (k_1 - k_2)^\rho \left[ \theta^{\mu\nu} - 2 g^{\rho\nu} (k_1 \theta k_2) \right] M^2 \right\} \\
+ 2 g^{\rho\nu} \left[ (k_1 \theta)^\nu - 2 k_1^{\nu} (k_1 \theta k_2) \right] M^2 \\
+ 2 g^{\rho\nu} \left[ (k_2 \theta)^\nu + 2 k_2^{\nu} (k_1 \theta k_2) \right] M^2 \right\},
\]

where $(k_i \theta)^\mu = k_{i\nu} \theta^{\nu\mu}$ and $k_1 \theta k_2 = k_{1\rho} \theta^{\rho\mu} k_{2\nu}$, while $M$ and $P$ are the mass and the total momentum of the discussed quarkonium state, respectively.

Additional diagrams displayed in Figure 2 contribute in the nnNCSM. The corresponding amplitude $\mathcal{M}_2(\bar{Q}Q_{\to\gamma\gamma})$ reads

\[
\mathcal{M}_2 = -i \frac{\alpha \sqrt{3}}{2} \epsilon_\rho |\Psi_{Q\bar{Q}}(0)| \epsilon_{\rho}(k_1) \epsilon_{\rho}(k_2) \epsilon_{\rho}(P) \Theta_3(\mu, k_1, \nu, k_2, \rho) \\
\times \epsilon_\rho \sin 2th W K_{\gamma\gamma} + \left( \frac{M}{M_Z} \right)^2 \epsilon_\rho \epsilon_\rho \lambda_{Z\gamma\gamma} \right\},
\]

where the needed vector couplings of $c$ and $b$ quarks are

given as

\[
c_\rho^c = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \sin^2 \theta_W \right),
\]

\[
c_\rho^b = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \right),
\]

and $\Theta_3$ is the triple gauge boson function defined in 8. The values of $K_{\gamma\gamma}$ and $K_{Z\gamma\gamma}$ coupling constants evaluated at the $M_Z$ scale are restricted by the six-sided polygon, as shown in Figure 3 taken from 14.

While only the quark exchange diagrams from Figure 1 contribute to the nnNCSM amplitude $\mathcal{M}^{\text{nnNCSM}} = \mathcal{M}_1$, the nnNCSM amplitude for the quarkonia decay into two photons amounts to the sum of contributions from the diagrams in Figures 1 and 2. $\mathcal{M}^{\text{nnNCSM}} = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2$.

At the end of this section, note that the NCSM free quark amplitude obtained from the sum of diagrams in Figure 1 is invariant under the electromagnetic gauge transformation, as it should be. Each of the $\gamma$ and $Z$ exchange diagrams from Figure 2 is also electromagnetically gauge invariant, as expected.

**RATES OF QUARKONIA DECAYS INTO TWO PHOTONS**

The amplitude squared for the quarkonia decay derived in the nnNCSM from the amplitudes 8 and 9 is

\[
\sum_{\text{pol.}} |\mathcal{M}^{\text{nnNCSM}}(\bar{Q}Q_{\to\gamma\gamma})|^2 = \sum_{\text{pol.}} |\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2|^2,
\]

from which, after the phase space integration, we obtain the decay rate,

\[
\Gamma^{\text{nnNCSM}}(Q_{\to\gamma\gamma})
\]

\[
= \frac{4\alpha^2 \pi}{3} |\Psi_{Q}(0)|^2 \left. \frac{M^2}{\Lambda_{\text{NC}}} \right| 7E^2_\theta + 3B^2_\theta.
\]
The coupling constants appearing in Eq. (12) are evaluated at the $M_2$ scale $[14, 31]$. Analogously one obtains the decay rate for mNCSM, $\Gamma^{m\text{NCSM}}(\bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$. It corresponds to setting $K_{\gamma\gamma} = K_{Z\gamma} = 0$ in (12).

In the above computations we have used the following identities:

\[
\theta^2 = (\theta^2)^\mu \nu = \theta_{\mu\nu} \theta^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\Lambda_{\text{NC}}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3}(e^{0i})^2 - \sum_{i,j=1; i<j}^{3}(e^{ij})^2 \right) = \frac{2}{\Lambda_{\text{NC}}} \left( \vec{E}^2_0 - \vec{B}^2_0 \right). \tag{13}
\]

To maximize the rates $[12]$, we can assume that the dimensionless quantities $\vec{E}_0^2$ and $\vec{B}_0^2$ are of order one $^1$. Normalizing the obtained decay rate to the decay of $\bar{Q}Q_{1-}$ into lepton pairs, by using (7) we find

\[
\frac{\Gamma^{m\text{NCSM}}(\bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(\bar{Q}Q_{1-} \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)} = \frac{\frac{5}{24} e^2}{\Lambda_{\text{NC}}} \left( \frac{M}{\Lambda_{\text{NC}}} \right)^4 \times \left[ 1 - \frac{e}{e_Q} \sin 2\theta_W K_{\gamma\gamma} \right. \\
- \left. \frac{2}{e_Q} \left( \frac{M}{M_Z} \right)^2 c_V K_{Z\gamma} \right]^2.
\tag{14}
\]

Hence, in the case of mNCSM couplings, we obtain the following ratios for $\Upsilon$ and $J/\psi$ decays:

\[
\frac{\Gamma^{m\text{NCSM}}(\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(\Upsilon \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)} = \frac{5}{216} \left( \frac{M_{\Upsilon}}{\Lambda_{\text{NC}}} \right)^4. \tag{15}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\Gamma^{m\text{NCSM}}(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)} = \frac{5}{54} \left( \frac{M_{J/\psi}}{\Lambda_{\text{NC}}} \right)^4. \tag{16}
\]

Note here that choosing $\vec{E}_0^2 = 0$ and $\vec{B}_0^2 \simeq 1$, as it is favored by the string theory, would produce result (14) multiplied by $3/10$, which does not change the final conclusion in a serious way.

The range of the scale of non-commutativity, $1 \geq \Lambda_{\text{NC}}/\text{TeV} \geq 0.25$, was choosen because it produces experimentally reachable quarkonia decay to two photons rates. Since the rate $[14]$ depends on $1/\Lambda_{\text{NC}}^4$ it is quite clear that any larger $\Lambda_{\text{NC}}$ would dramatically decrease possibility to see the signal for the non-commutativity of space-time via quarkonia to two photons decay at present and near-future experiments.

The choosen range of the scale of non-commutativity then gives

\[
2 \times 10^{-10} \lesssim \frac{\Gamma^{m\text{NCSM}}(\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(\Upsilon \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-8} \tag{17}
\]

and

\[
9 \times 10^{-12} \lesssim \frac{\Gamma^{m\text{NCSM}}(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-9}. \tag{18}
\]

From the experiment one has $\Gamma^{\text{exp}}(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (5.314 \pm 0.029)$ keV and $\Gamma^{\text{tot}}(\Upsilon(1S)) = (53.0 \pm 1.5)$ keV $[31]$, which then leads to

\[
5 \times 10^{-12} \lesssim BR^{m\text{NCSM}}(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \lesssim 10^{-10}. \tag{19}
\]

For the $J/\psi$ case, $\Gamma^{\text{exp}}(J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-) = (5.4 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.07)$ keV and $\Gamma^{\text{exp}}_{\text{tot}}(J/\psi) = (91.0 \pm 3.2)$ keV $[31]$, which, with $\Lambda_{\text{NC}}$ in the above range, gives the following range for the $J/\psi$ branching ratio:

\[
5 \times 10^{-13} \lesssim BR^{m\text{NCSM}}(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \lesssim 10^{-10}. \tag{20}
\]

For the nnNCSM, with the choice of the triple gauge boson couplings $K_{\gamma\gamma} = -0.576$ and $K_{Z\gamma} = 0.010$ the rates for the $\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays reach maximal values. In the same range of the scale of non-commutativity as above, $1 \geq \Lambda_{\text{NC}}/\text{TeV} \geq 0.25$, we then have

\[
7 \times 10^{-10} \lesssim \frac{\Gamma^{n\text{NCSM}}(\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(\Upsilon \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-7} \tag{21}
\]

and

\[
5 \times 10^{-11} \lesssim \frac{\Gamma^{n\text{NCSM}}(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(J/\psi \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-)} \lesssim 10^{-8}. \tag{22}
\]

Using the aforementioned experimental values for $\Upsilon$ and $J/\psi$ we obtain the following ranges for relevant branching ratios:

\[
2 \times 10^{-11} \lesssim BR^{n\text{NCSM}}(\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \lesssim 4 \times 10^{-9}, \tag{23}
\]

\[
3 \times 10^{-12} \lesssim BR^{n\text{NCSM}}(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \lesssim 8 \times 10^{-10}. \tag{24}
\]

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

In this paper we have considered decays of two quarkonia states: $\bar{Q}Q_{1-} = J/\psi, \ Upsilon(1S)$ into two photons which violate the LPY theorem.

Theoretically, in the $\Upsilon$ case, the addition of triple neutral gauge boson couplings via a photon and a Z-boson

---

$^1$ The parameterization of the $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ matrix elements used here is taken from $[12]$. 
exchange diagrams in Figure 1 contribute constructively to the dominant part of the $K_{\gamma\gamma} - K_{Z\gamma\gamma}$ area, producing rates larger by a factor of $\approx 5$ with respect to those gained in the mNCSM. Destructive contributions are small, covering about a few % of the $K_{\gamma\gamma} - K_{Z\gamma\gamma}$ area. This is illustrated in Figure 5 showing that the mNCSM contributions to the $J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma$ decay are almost always constructive, thus effectively enhancing its branching ratio.

Experimentally, concerning the $\Upsilon(1S)$ decay, at CLEO-III there is the largest sample of $\Upsilon(1S)$ resonances produced in $e^+e^-$ collisions, about 21 million. However, it will be very difficult to observe the $\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma\gamma$ decay because of the larger QED background from the non-resonant $e^+e^- \to \gamma\gamma$ process. The resonant cross-section for $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(1S)$ is of the same order of magnitude as the background cross-section. Thus it seems that the detection of $BR(\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma\gamma)$ below $10^{-3}$ will be hopeless with the present data. Considering the $J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma$ decay, there are much better chances since the resonant cross-section is much higher. The existing limit, which comes from a very old experiment $BR(J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma) < 5 \times 10^{-4}$, can be improved but probably the NC limits given in [30] and [21] are unreachable today. Unfortunately, the above experimental limits are too weak to set any reliable bound on the non-commutative scale from our model estimate.

Finally, note that quarkonia and the Z-boson to two photon decay processes are related in the mNCSM, via the $Z\gamma\gamma$ interaction of the strength $2e\sin2\theta_W K_{Z\gamma\gamma}$ determined in [14]. We have found that the $Q_{1-\to\gamma\gamma}$ decay rates become maximal for the values $K_{\gamma\gamma} = -0.576$ and $K_{Z\gamma\gamma} = 0.01$. The same value $K_{Z\gamma\gamma} = 0.01$, produces the minimal value of $BR(Z \to \gamma\gamma)$ via Eq. (17) from [14] and Table 1 from [14]. On the other hand, the value of $K_{Z\gamma\gamma} = -0.34$, for any $-0.03 < K_{\gamma\gamma} > -0.19$ (see Table 1 in [14]), maximizes the $Z \to \gamma\gamma$ decay rate and, at the same time, minimizes the $Q_{1-\to\gamma\gamma}$ branching ratios (see Figures 4 and 5). The combination of all three decays would certainly narrow the parameter space of unknown constants of our model, like $\theta_W^\nu$, $K_{Z\gamma\gamma}$, etc.

In conclusion, if the future experiments measure any of the $Z \to \gamma\gamma$, $J/\psi \to \gamma\gamma$ and $\Upsilon(1S) \to \gamma\gamma$ branching ratios, the appearances of the physics beyond the SM would then be strongly indicated. We hope that the importance of a possible discovery of space-time non-commutativity will convince experimentalists to look for SM forbidden decays in hadronic physics.
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