Polychromatic Grand Unification
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The possibility of achieving a gauge coupling grand unification by increasing the QCD degrees of freedom at higher energies is investigated. When confronted with precision low-energy data, the generic weak-mixing angle relation \( \sin^2 \theta_W = N/(2N + 1) \) requires \( N = 7 \) colors at the GUT scale \( M_U \simeq 10^{17} \) GeV. With the exclusive addition of light Higgs doublets to the standard model particle content, such a unification may also occur if \( N = 5 \) at \( M_U \simeq 10^{16} \) GeV.

I. INTRODUCTION

The possible merger of the standard model (SM) gauge couplings at some high energy scale is a very attractive scenario in our quest for unification. It is well known that precision low-energy data rule out minimal grand unified theories (GUT) with the SM particle content. On the other hand, unification of gauge couplings can be achieved within the framework of supersymmetric extensions of the SM. Therefore, it is tempting to consider the above as a strong indication for low-energy supersymmetry. Nevertheless, given the theoretical and experimental implications of this unification scheme, it is also pertinent to ask whether other scenarios might lead to unification of gauge couplings.

As a matter of fact, the apparent absence of light exotic fermions is nowadays an argument in favor of the weak-mixing relation \( \sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8 \), linked to the well-known chain of simple group embeddings \( SU(5) \subset SO(10) \subset E_6 \subset \ldots \). In this letter, we shall consider other group embeddings within the framework of polychromatic extensions of the SM.

The possibility that the relevant number of colors \( N \) increases with energy has indeed been considered by ’t Hooft as a requirement for obtaining not only asymptotic freedom but also “asymptotic convergence” at high energies. Moreover, it is also quite remarkable that a simple polychromatic extension of the SM, i.e. \( SU(N)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{1/N} \), is still free of “triangular” and “mixed” gauge anomalies within one family of left-handed quarks and leptons displayed as follows:
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(1)

the subscript being the hypercharge quantum number \( Y \). As in the SM, each family is distributed over the five multiplets \((N,2), 2 \times (N,1), (1,2)\) and \((1,1)\) of \( SU(N)_c \times SU(2)_L \). The value of \( \sin^2 \theta_W \) at the unification scale may be computed by requiring the one-loop fermionic contribution to the \( \gamma - Z \) mixing to be finite, which implies the relation

\[
\text{Tr} \left[ Q \left( T_{3L} - Q \sin^2 \theta_W \right) \right] = 0,
\]

(2)

where the trace is computed over all the fermions in the theory and the charge operator is given by the relation \( Q = T_{3L} + Y/2 \). In the case of the charge assignment, it leads to

\[
\sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{N}{2(N+1)}.
\]

(3)

For the SM fermionic content \((N = 3)\), we recover the standard value \( \sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8 \) which naturally follows from the \( SU(5) \) or \( SO(10) \) unification groups. Remarkably, we shall see that Eq. (3) turns out to be also a generic relation for the weak-mixing angle in polychromatic \( SU(N+2) \) or \( SO(2N+4) \) unification groups. The corresponding value of \( \sin^2 \theta_W \) is surprisingly stable with respect to variations of \( N \) from three to infinity. However, low-energy data on gauge couplings already provide strong restrictions on the value of \( N \) at the GUT scale. In fact, gauge coupling grand unification is only possible in two cases, namely, for \((N = 7, n_H = 1)\) and \((N = 5, n_H = 3)\), if \( n_H \) is the number of light Higgs doublets.

II. BEYOND \( \sin^2 \theta_W = 3/8 \)

The angle \( \theta_W \) defines both the tree-level gauge-boson eigenstates \( (m_W^2/m_Z^2 = \cos^2 \theta_W) \) and the ratio of weak gauge couplings \((\tan \theta_W = g'/g_2)\).

On one hand, if the complete fermion content of the GUT is known, the value of \( \sin^2 \theta_W \) at the gauge coupling unification scale may still be computed by imposing the cancellation of divergencies in the one-loop fermionic contribution to the \( \gamma - Z \) mixing. For illustration, let us consider the Pati-Salam model recently revived in the context of brane models. For an arbitrary number of colors \( N \), we need the following two multiplets:

\[
F_{c,L,R} = \begin{pmatrix}
 u_1 & \cdots & u_N & \nu_e \\
 d_1 & \cdots & d_N & e
\end{pmatrix}_{L,R},
\]

(4)

which transform as \((N+1,2,1)\) and \((N+1,1,2)\) under \( SU(N+1)_c \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \). The electric charge operator is now given by \( Q = T_{3L} + T_{3R} + (B-L)/2 \), where
\( B, L \) are the baryon and lepton numbers, respectively. This relation leads then to the charges
\[
Q_u = \frac{1 + N}{2N}, \quad Q_d = \frac{1 - N}{2N},
\]
which allows us to identify the \( N \)-colored quarks and their chiral charges:
\[
Q_{uL} = 1 - \frac{1}{N}, \quad Q_{uR} = \frac{2}{N}, \quad Q_{dL} = Q_{dR} = -\frac{1}{N}.
\]

The remaining colored particles are quite exotic.

If we assume instead that no irreducible representation appears more than once within one family, then, in order to preserve the gauge anomaly cancellation, we need the following set of fermion irreducible representations
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{(N+1)/2} \left[ (N + 2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (N + 2) \right]_{a} \in SU(N+2),
\]
from Eq. (3) it is then tempting \( \text{natural, if right-handed neutrinos are included} \) to consider the \( 2^{N+1} \) dimensional spinor representation of the larger group \( SO(2N + 4) \).

In summary, we have at our disposal a generic value of \( \sin^2 \theta_W \) which can be motivated by various polychromatic unification scenarios based on the gauge group \( G(N) = SU(N + 1) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R, \) \( SU(N + 2) \) or \( SO(2N + 4) \), characterized by different charge assignments (see Eqs. (3), (8) and (10), respectively) if \( N > 3 \). However, these gauge groups are just examples among others. For our purposes, all the crucial information is encoded in relation (3), which we shall now assume to be valid at the GUT scale.

Finally, we emphasize that the number \( N \) of “active” colors at the GUT scale must be odd to ensure - a cancellation of the “global” SU(2) anomaly \( \text{natural, if right-handed neutrinos are included} \) for the SU((N + 1) × SU(2)_L × SU(2)_R extended Pati-Salam unification (see Eq. (4)); - the natural embedding of SU(N + 2) into SO(2N + 4) (see Eq. (11)).

### III. GAUGE COUPLING UNIFICATION

If the GUT scale \( M_U \) is large compared with all the SM particle masses, the one-loop renormalization group equations (RGE) for the three gauge coupling constants can be written in the form
\[
\alpha_i^{-1}(M_U) = \alpha_i^{-1} - b_i \ln \frac{M_U}{m_Z},
\]
where \( \alpha_i = g_i^2/(4\pi) \) and \( \alpha_i Z = \alpha_i(m_Z) \). The coefficients \( b_i \) are gauge group dependent. From Eqs. (11), it
is straightforward to show that for the gauge couplings $g_i$ to merge at $M_U$, the values of $\alpha_{1Z}^{-1}$ and $b_i$ must obey the relation

$$f_b = f_\alpha,$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

with

$$f_b \equiv \frac{b_1 - b_3}{b_2 - b_3}, \hspace{0.5cm} f_\alpha \equiv \frac{\alpha_{1Z}^{-1} - \alpha_{2Z}^{-1}}{\alpha_{1Z}^{-1} - \alpha_{2Z}^{-1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

For instance, assuming that the standard $SU(5)$ or $SO(10)$ unification group breaks into $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ at the GUT scale, one has the values

$$b_i^{(SM)} = \left( \frac{41}{10}, -\frac{19}{6}, -7 \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

which imply $f_b^{(SM)} \simeq 0.9$. Using the following precision measurements as inputs [15]

$$\alpha^{-1}(m_Z) = 127.934 \pm 0.027,$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_W(m_Z) = 0.23113 \pm 0.00015,$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (15)

$$\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1172 \pm 0.0020,$$

which are defined in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme, one obtains $f_\alpha \simeq 2.4$. This means that the gauge coupling constants do not unify in the SM embedded into $SU(5)$ or $SO(10)$ gauge groups. On the other hand, in the minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM (MSSM) where

$$b_i^{(MSSM)} = \left( \frac{33}{5}, 1, -3 \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

one obtains $f_b^{(MSSM)} = 2.4$, i.e an almost perfect gauge coupling unification.

We wonder whether it is possible to obtain a gauge coupling unification in the context of $G(N)$ gauge groups. Let us assume that the relevant $G(N)$ is directly broken into $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ at the GUT scale $M_U$ and that, besides the SM particle content, only new Higgs doublets may acquire their masses at the electroweak scale. Then, the one-loop RGE are still given by Eq. (11), where now the coefficients $b_i$ read

$$b_i(N, n_H) = \left( \frac{40 + n_H}{6}, \frac{N}{N + 2}, -\frac{10}{3} + \frac{n_H}{6}, -7 \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

with $n_H$ the total number of light Higgs doublets. Once again, to achieve unification the relations (12) and (13) must hold with

$$\alpha_{1Z} = \frac{\alpha(m_Z)}{1 - \sin^2 \theta_W(m_Z)} \frac{N + 2}{N}, \hspace{0.5cm} \alpha_{2Z} = \frac{\alpha(m_Z)}{\sin^2 \theta_W(m_Z)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

In Fig. 1 we plot the functions $f_\alpha$ and $f_b$ as functions of the number of colors $N$ for $n_H = 1, 3, 7$, which turn out to be the only possible solutions compatible with gauge coupling unification. For comparison, the SM and MSSM solutions are also indicated. In fact, the drastic supersymmetric extension of the SM causes only small changes in the predicted relation among gauge couplings. The reason is that any addition of particles forming complete GUT multiplets will cause small changes if they are (nearly) degenerate in mass. So the major difference arises from the fact that the MSSM requires 2 Higgs doublets which do not have accompanying light triplets. Taking into account their fermionic superpartners, we understand why it almost coincides with the (non-supersymmetric) $N = 3$ solution with approximately $6 = 2 \times (1 + 2)$ scalar doublets.

To check the consistency of these solutions with the electroweak precision measurements given in Eqs. (15), we present in Fig. 2 the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ as a function of $\sin^2 \theta_W(m_Z)$, the latter being more precisely measured. We notice that the present uncertainties on $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ do not allow us to exclude any of the four solutions. Yet the bounds on proton decays provide us with another way to disentangle them.

The unification scale $M_U$ is easily obtained from Eqs. (14), (17) and (18),

$$M_U = m_Z \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi(\alpha_{1Z}^{-1} - \alpha_{2Z}^{-1})}{b_1 - b_2} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

In particular, for the solutions previously found, the unification occurs at

$$N = 7 \hspace{0.5cm} n_H = 1 \hspace{0.5cm} M_U \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV},$$

$$N = 5 \hspace{0.5cm} n_H = 3 \hspace{0.5cm} M_U \simeq 8.4 \times 10^{15} \text{ GeV},$$

$$N = 3 \hspace{0.5cm} n_H = 7 \hspace{0.5cm} M_U \simeq 4.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)
For the MSSM solution we obtain the well-known value $M_U \approx 2.0 \times 10^{16}$ GeV.

We notice that the unification scale in the case of $(N = 3, n_H = 7)$ is obviously too low to be compatible with the experimental constraints on proton decay. Consequently, we are left with the polychromatic cases $N = 7$ and $N = 5$. As a matter of fact, the MSSM with a GUT scale around $10^{16}$ GeV might already be ruled out by proton decay ($p \to \bar{v} K^+$) data from Super-Kamiokande.

IV. CONCLUSION

Polychromatic unification is a possible alternative to supersymmetric unification. In the minimal $N = 7$ polychromatic $SU(9)$ or $SO(18)$ GUT, the unification scale around $10^{17}$ GeV is high enough since the proton lifetime ($p \to e^+ \pi^0$) is generically proportional to the fourth power of the superheavy gauge boson masses. Moreover, $10^{17}$ GeV is close enough to the Planck scale of $10^{19}$ GeV to suggest a unification with gravity.

The two polychromatic solutions ($N = 7$ and $N = 5$) presented here are based on the hypothesis of a direct symmetry breakdown of the relevant gauge group $G(N)$ into the standard $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ at a unique GUT scale. However, stepwise symmetry breakdown from high to lower $N$ could also take place at nondegenerate high scales, possibly giving rise to new solutions with $N > 7$, in agreement with 't Hooft “suspicion” that at infinite energy, $N$ has to tend to infinity. But these threshold effects require two-loop RGE which are clearly beyond the scope of this letter.

Acknowledgments

This work was financed by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal) through the projects POCTI/FNU/43793/2002 and CFIF - Plurianual (2/91). The work of J.-M.G. has been partially supported by the Federal Office for Scientific, Technical and Cultural Affairs through the Interuniversity Attraction Pole P5/27. The work of R.G.F. and B.M.N. was supported by FCT under the grants SFRH/BPD/1549/2000 and SFRH/BD/995/2000, respectively.

[6] These polychromatic extensions should not be confused with the $SU(9)$ and $SO(18)$ gauge groups previously considered in the context of family unification: see for e.g. P. Frampton and S. Nandi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1460; Y. Fujimoto, Phys. Rev. D 26 (1982) 3183.