First observation of the doubly charmed baryon decay
\[ \Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+ \]

LHCb collaboration†

**Abstract**

The doubly charmed baryon decay \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+ \) is observed for the first time, with a statistical significance of \( 5.9\sigma \), confirming a recent observation of the baryon in the \( \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \) final state. The data sample used corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 1.7 fb\(^{-1}\), collected by the LHCb experiment in pp collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) mass is measured to be \[ 3620.6 \pm 1.5 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.4 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.3 \text{ (} \Xi_c^+ \text{) MeV}/c^2, \]

and is consistent with the previous result. The ratio of branching fractions between the decay modes is measured to be

\[
\frac{B(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+) \times B(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+)}{B(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) \times B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+)} = 0.035 \pm 0.009 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.003 \text{ (syst)}.
\]
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†Authors are listed at the end of this paper.
The recent observation by the LHCb collaboration [1] of a new state that is consistent with the doubly charmed baryon $\Xi^{++}_{cc}$, opens a new field of research studying the properties of baryons containing two heavy quarks, providing a unique environment for testing models of quantum chromodynamics (QCD). In studies of a sample of $\Xi^{++}_{cc}$ decays to the final state $\Lambda^+_c K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, with $\Lambda^+_c \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$, its mass was found to be $3621.40 \pm 0.72$ (stat) $\pm 0.27$ (syst) $\pm 0.14$ (STAT) MeV/$c^2$ [1], and its lifetime was measured to be $0.256^{+0.024}_{-0.022}$ (stat) $\pm 0.014$ (syst) ps [2]. The measured lifetime firmly establishes its weakly decaying nature. Searching for new decay modes is the next critical step towards understanding the dynamics of weak decays of doubly heavy baryons, which may differ significantly from those of singly heavy hadrons due to interference between decay amplitudes of the two heavy quarks. The process $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi^+_c\pi^+$ has been predicted to have a sizable branching fraction [3,4], making it a promising final state in which to seek confirmation of the previous observation.

This Letter reports the first observation of the decay $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi^+_c\pi^+$, which proceeds predominantly via the tree-level amplitude represented by the Feynman diagram shown in Fig. 1. The $\Xi^+_c$ baryon is reconstructed in its Cabibbo-suppressed decay to $pK^-\pi^+$. The data sample used consists of $pp$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV collected by the LHCb experiment in 2016, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 1.7 fb$^{-1}$. A measurement of the $\Xi^{++}_{cc}$ mass with this sample is presented, and the ratio of the total branching fractions, $R(\mathcal{B})$, between the decays $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi^+_c(\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)$, and $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Lambda^+_c(\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)$, $K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ is determined.

$\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi^+_c\pi^+$

Figure 1: Dominant Feynman diagram contributing to the decay $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi^+_c\pi^+$.

The LHCb detector [5,6] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, designed for the study of particles containing $b$ or $c$ quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector [7] surrounding the $pp$ interaction region that allows $c$ and $b$ hadrons to be identified from their typical long flight distance; a tracking system [8] that provides a measurement of momentum, $p$, of charged particles; two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors [9] that discriminate between different species of charged hadrons; a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic calorimeter and a hadronic calorimeter, to identify photons, electrons and hadrons; a muon system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers [10] to identify muons. The online event selection is performed by a trigger [11], which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems [12], followed by a

---

1 The inclusion of charge-conjugate processes is implied throughout.
software stage, which applies a full event reconstruction incorporating real-time reconstruction and calibration of the detector [13]. The same alignment and calibration information is propagated to the offline reconstruction, ensuring consistent and high-quality particle identification (PID) information between the trigger and offline software. The identical performance of the online and offline reconstruction offers the opportunity to perform physics analyses directly using candidates reconstructed in the trigger which is done in the present analysis.

Simulation is required to model the effects of the detector acceptance and the imposed selection requirements. In the simulation, pp collisions are generated using PYTHIA [14] with a specific LHCb configuration [15]. A dedicated generator, GENXICC2.0 [16], is used to simulate Ξcc++ baryon production. Decays of hadrons are described by EVTGEN [17], in which final-state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [18]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are modelled using the GEANT4 toolkit [19] as described in Ref. [20].

The selection of Ξcc++ → Ξc(→ pK−π+)π+ decays is designed to be as similar as possible to those of Ξcc+ → Λc(→ pK−π+)K−π+π+, described in Ref. [1]. Three charged particles identified as p, K− and π+ that form a good-quality vertex are combined to reconstruct a Ξ+c → pK−π+ candidate. The three particles are required to have transverse momenta in excess of 500 MeV/c and be inconsistent with originating from any primary vertex (PV). The Ξ+c+ vertex is required to be displaced from any PV by a distance corresponding to a Ξ+c+ decay time greater than 0.15 ps, which corresponds to approximately twice the decay time resolution. The invariant-mass of each Ξ+c+ candidate is required to be in the range 2450–2488 MeV/c², corresponding to approximately six times the Ξ+c+ mass resolution. An additional positively charged particle, which must be identified as a pion and have pT greater than 200 MeV/c, is then combined with the Ξ+c+ candidate to form a Ξcc++ candidate. The Ξ+c++π+ pair is required to form a vertex that is of good quality and is upstream of the Ξ+c+ vertex. The Ξcc++ candidate must have pT > 2000 MeV/c and be consistent with originating from a PV. The candidate is associated with the PV with respect to which it has the smallest impact parameter χ² (χ²IP). The χ²IP is defined as the difference in χ² of the PV fit with and without the particle in question. To avoid contributions due to duplicate tracks, candidates are rejected if the angle between any pair of their final-state particles with the same charge is smaller than 0.5 mrad. Specific hardware trigger requirements are also applied, to increase the signal yield and simplify the study of the trigger efficiency. Candidates are retained only if the event contains large transverse energy deposits in the calorimeter arising from the decay products of the Ξcc++ candidate, or if the event contains activity either in the calorimeter or in the muon system from particles other than these decay products. Simulation shows that the efficiency for these additional requirements is above 90% for both two-body or four-body Ξcc++ decay modes.

A multivariate selector based on the multilayer perceptron algorithm [21] is used to further suppress combinatorial backgrounds. To train the selector, simulated Ξcc++ → Ξc(→ pK−π+)π+ decays are used as a signal sample, and 0.3 million candidates from the upper sideband with invariant-masses in the range 3800–4000 MeV/c² are used as a background sample. To reduce the effect of the Ξ+c+ mass resolution on the invariant-mass of the Ξcc++ candidates, an alternative evaluation of the invariant-mass is used, m(Ξc+π+) = M(Ξ+cπ+) + M([pK−π+]Ξc+) + MPDG(Ξc+), where M(Ξc+π+) and M([pK−π+]Ξc+) are the reconstructed masses of the Ξcc++ and Ξc+ candidates, and
The input variables used in the multivariate selector are chosen based on their discrimination power between signal and background candidates. Three different types of variables are considered in the training. The first type of variables are the kinematic information of particles, including the $p_T$ of each of the four final-state particles and of the $\Xi_c^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ candidates; the angle between the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ momentum vector and the displacement vector from the PV to the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ decay vertex. The second type of variables are the vertex fitting qualities, including the $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom of the $\Xi_c^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ vertex fits; the $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom of a kinematic refit \cite{23} of the $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ (\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) \pi^+$ decay chain that requires the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ to originate from its PV. The third type of variables are related to the lifetime, including the $\chi^2_{IP}$ of each of the four final-state particles and of the $\Xi_c^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ candidates with respect to their associated PV; the sum of the $\chi^2_{IP}$ of the four final-state particles; and the flight distance $\chi^2$ of the $\Xi_c^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ candidates. The flight distance $\chi^2$ is defined as the $\chi^2$ of the hypothesis that the decay vertex of the candidate coincides with its associated PV.

Candidates are retained only if the multivariate-selector output exceeds a certain threshold. This threshold is chosen to maximize the expected value of the figure of merit $\varepsilon/(\sqrt{N_B})$ \cite{24}. Here, $\varepsilon$ is the estimated signal efficiency and $N_B$ is the expected number of background candidates under the signal peak in the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ mass distribution, after the selection. The quantity $N_B$ is determined, assuming an exponential shape for the background, from the number of $\Xi_c^+\pi^+$ candidates in the mass region of 3800–4000 MeV/$c^2$, scaled to a signal region centered at a mass of 3620 MeV/$c^2$ and with a width of 30 MeV/$c^2$. This corresponds to approximately five times the expected $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ mass resolution. To test for potential biases in the multivariate selection or other reconstruction effects, the same selection criteria are applied to control samples of data consisting of $\Xi_c^+\pi^+$ candidates in the $\Xi_c^+$ sideband regions and of wrong-sign combination $\Xi_c^+\pi^-$. No peaking structure is visible in either samples.

Figure 2 (left) shows the distribution of invariant-masses of $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ candidates, $m(\Xi_c^+\pi^+)$, after applying the complete selection. The contribution from events containing multiple signal candidates is found to be less than 1%; all these candidates are included in the fit. A signal is visible at a mass of approximately 3620 MeV/$c^2$, in the vicinity of the previous LHCb $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ baryon observation \cite{1}. The mass distribution is fitted with an unbinned extended maximum-likelihood method to measure the properties of this structure. The peak is described by an empirical model, consisting of a Gaussian function and a modified Gaussian function with power-law tails on both sides \cite{25} and with the same mean value. All tail parameters are fixed to values obtained from a fit to simulated signal events, while the parameters corresponding to the mass and the mass resolution are varied in the fit. The background shape is described by an exponential function. The resulting signal yield is $91 \pm 20$ and the mass value is $3620.7 \pm 1.5$ MeV/$c^2$, where the uncertainties are statistical only. The mass is fully consistent with the value measured in the $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ decay channel \cite{1}, and the resolution determined by the fit is consistent with expectations based on known detector performance. The local statistical significance of the signal, evaluated by taking the likelihood ratio corresponding to fits that include and exclude the signal component, is found to be $5.9\sigma$, thus confirming the observation reported in Ref \cite{1}.

The invariant-mass distribution for the reference mode, $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^-\pi^+\pi^+$, is shown in Fig. 2 (right). The selection used to obtain this sample is identical to that of the previous analysis \cite{1}, except for the additional requirements on the hardware trigger. An
The branching fraction ratio, $\mathcal{R}(B)$, between the decays $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ (\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}(B) \equiv \frac{B(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+\pi^+)}{B(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+K^-\pi^+\pi^+)} = \frac{r_N}{r_e}, \quad (1)$$

where $r_N$ is the ratio of $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ yields between the signal and reference decay modes, and $r_e$ is the ratio of total efficiencies between the two modes. In each case, the total efficiency includes the effects of the geometrical acceptance, trigger, reconstruction, and selection. Each contribution to the efficiency ratio is evaluated with simulation, calibrated with data when possible, as described in the following. The combined efficiency of the reconstruction and the selection, excluding the hardware-trigger requirement, is determined from fully simulated signal samples in which the tracking [26] and particle-identification efficiencies are corrected using control samples. The correction to the efficiency ratio of the $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ and $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+\pi^+$ channels is determined to be $0.983 \pm 0.007$ for the tracking efficiency, and $1.050 \pm 0.020$ for the particle-identification efficiency. The hardware-trigger efficiency ratio is estimated from fully simulated signal events, with a $p_T$-dependent correction derived from data using $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-\pi^+$ decays, which are required to pass the same trigger selection as the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ candidates. These two decay channels have similar final states and decay topologies as the signal. The total relative efficiency is determined to be $r_e = 0.110 \pm 0.002$, where the uncertainty comes from the limited size of the simulation sample and is accounted as a systematic uncertainty. To validate this procedure, the ratio of branching fractions of the decays $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ is measured using the same data sample, resulting in a value $0.83 \pm 0.05$ (statistical uncertainty only) which agrees with the previous LHCb result of $0.70 \pm 0.10$ [27].
The main sources of systematic uncertainty that affect the measurements of the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) mass are summarized in Table 1. Samples of \( J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \) and \( B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \) decays are used to calibrate the reconstructed momentum of charged particles, which affect the reconstructed mass of signal. The maximum difference between the correction factors determined with above-mentioned decays is found to be 0.03\%, which corresponds to a systematic uncertainty of 0.38 MeV/c\(^2\) on the measured \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) mass. The signal selection efficiency increases with the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) decay time; combined with a correlation between the reconstructed mass and the reconstructed decay time, this induces a positive bias on the masses of both \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) and \( \Xi_{cc}^+ \) candidates. The effect is studied with simulation and the bias on the measured \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) mass is found to be \(+0.10 \pm 0.10\) MeV/c\(^2\), where the uncertainty is due to the limited size of the simulated samples. A correction to the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) mass of \(-0.10\) MeV/c\(^2\) is therefore applied, and a systematic uncertainty of 0.10 MeV/c\(^2\) assigned. The dependence of this bias on the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) lifetime is studied by weighting simulated events to different lifetime hypotheses; the change is found to be negligible for the measured \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) lifetime. The description of the final-state radiation in simulation can also cause a bias in the measured mass, which is estimated with pseudoexperiments. The correction is determined to be \(+0.03\) MeV/c\(^2\), with a negligible uncertainty. The impact of the model used to fit the invariant-mass distribution on the measured mass is estimated by varying the shape parameters that are fixed according to simulation, using alternative signal and background models, and performing the fits over different mass ranges. The largest variation in the fitted \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) masses, 0.05 MeV/c\(^2\), is taken as a systematic uncertainty. The current known value for the \( \Xi_c^+ \) mass is used to compute the invariant-mass \( m(\Xi_c^+\pi^+) \) of the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) candidate. Its uncertainty, 0.30 MeV/c\(^2\), is assigned as a systematic uncertainty on the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) mass.

The systematic uncertainties on the ratio \( R(B) \) are listed in Table 1 and are described as follows. The alternative fit models mentioned above result in different values of the ratio \( r_N \). The largest relative deviation measured, 5.2\%, is assigned as a systematic uncertainty on \( R(B) \). The relative efficiency of the tracking, particle identification and trigger are estimated using control samples, whose statistical uncertainties are taken as a systematic uncertainty on \( R(B) \). An additional uncertainty of 4.1\% is assigned on the track-reconstruction efficiency due to uncertainties on the material budget of the detector and the modelling of hadronic interaction with the detector material. The particle-identification efficiency is determined in bins of particle momentum and pseudorapidity using control samples. The size of the bins is increased or decreased by a factor of two and the largest deviation on \( R(B) \) is assigned as systematic uncertainty related to the binning. An additional uncertainty of 4.2\% on the hardware trigger efficiency is determined from the \( A_0^0 \) control samples described above, including a statistical uncertainty from the limited sample size, and an uncertainty that is determined by testing the procedure in simulation and taking the deviation as a systematic uncertainty. Combining the systematic uncertainties on the efficiency mentioned above, a systematic uncertainty of 6.5\% on \( R(B) \) is assigned. Uncertainties from the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) mass, lifetime and production spectra are investigated, and 1.2\% is assigned as a systematic uncertainty. Different requirements on the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} p_T \) are applied to select the \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+\pi^+ \) and \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+K^-\pi^+\pi^+ \) decays, and this may cause a bias if the \( p_T \) distribution of simulated \( \Xi_{cc}^{++} \) differs from that in data. To assess the size of this effect, the measurement is repeated applying the same \( p_T \) requirement to both modes. The difference in \( R(B) \) is found to be 0.7\%. A separate measurement carried out with a cut-based selection gives a consistent result.
Table 1: Systematic uncertainties on the measurement of the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ mass and of the ratio of branching fractions $R(B)$ between the $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$ and the $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay modes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Mass [MeV/$c^2$]</th>
<th>$R(B)$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Momentum calibration</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection bias correction</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit model</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative efficiency</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation modelling</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum in quadrature</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of $R(B)$ is measured to be $0.035 \pm 0.009$ (stat) $\pm 0.003$ (syst) and the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ mass is measured to be $3620.6 \pm 1.5$ (stat) $\pm 0.4$ (syst) $\pm 0.3$ ($\Xi_c^+$) MeV/$c^2$, which is consistent with the mass measured in the final state $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$, $3621.40 \pm 0.72$ (stat) $\pm 0.27$ (syst) $\pm 0.14$ ($\Lambda_c^+$) MeV/$c^2$ \cite{ref1}. Averaging over the two measurements, the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ mass is determined to be $3621.24 \pm 0.65$ (stat) $\pm 0.31$ (syst) MeV/$c^2$ (see the Appendix \cite{ref1} for the comparisons between the measured $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ masses and combined result). The combination is performed using the Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (BLUE) method \cite{30,31}. In the combination, the systematic uncertainties are assumed to be uncorrelated except for the momentum scale calibration.

In summary, a new decay mode of the doubly charmed baryon $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$ is observed with a statistical significance of $5.9\sigma$ in a data sample of $pp$ collisions collected by the LHCb experiment at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ mass is consistent with the previous LHCb result \cite{ref1} and with most theoretical calculations of the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ mass (see e.g. Ref. \cite{32}). The ratio of the total branching fractions between this decay ($\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$) and the reference mode ($\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$) is consistent with the prediction of Ref. \cite{4}, which, however, has large uncertainties. Therefore, this measurement provides important information towards an improved understanding of the decays of doubly charmed baryons.
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Figure 3 shows the comparison between $\Xi^{++}_{cc}$ mass measured from $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Lambda^{+}_c K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ and $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi^{+}_c \pi^+$ channel, and the combined mass value using these two results.

Figure 3: Measured $\Xi^{++}_{cc}$ masses obtained with the decay modes $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Lambda^{+}_c K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ and $\Xi^{++}_{cc} \rightarrow \Xi^{+}_c \pi^+$, and the combined result. The darker green band represents the statistical uncertainty on the combination, and the lighter green band represents the total uncertainty on the combination.